

MATTHEW Q. CALLISTER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 1396
MITCHELL S. BISSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 11920
CALLISTER LAW GROUP
330 E. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89104
Tel.: (702) 385-3343
Fax: (702) 385-2899
matt@callcallister.com
mbisson@callcallister.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RUDY RIVERA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:19-CV-00306-RFB-VCF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

(First Request)

Pursuant to Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 6-1 of this Court's Local Rules, the parties, through undersigned counsel, stipulate to extend the deadlines for Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 19), Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 21) and the replies by both parties to each opposition. This is the first request to extend such deadlines.

The deadline for Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 19) shall be extended from June 3, 2020 to June 26, 2020.

The deadline for Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 19) shall be extended to July 10, 2020.

The deadline for Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 21) shall be extended from June 10, 2020 to June 26, 2020.

1 The deadline for Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for
2 Summary Judgment (ECF No. 21) shall be extended to July 10, 2020.

3 Defendant's Motion (ECF No. 19) raises subject matter jurisdiction grounds under Rule
4 12(b)(1), as well as summary judgment grounds under Rule 56. The Local Rules provide different
5 response and reply times for motions to dismiss compared to motions for summary judgment. See
6 LR 7-2(b), (c), (e). The ECF notification for Defendant's Motion (ECF No. 19) reflected the
7 earlier response date of June 3, 2020, while the ECF notification for Plaintiff's Motion (ECF No.
8 21) reflected the latter response date of June 10, 2020. In order to clarify the deadlines in this
9 matter, as well to extend them for the reasons below, the parties have submitted this stipulation.

10 Due to the corona-virus pandemic, and the recent reopening of Plaintiff's counsel's
11 physical office, additional time is being requested to respond to the above-mentioned motions.
12 Undersigned counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendant have several other briefs to prepare and file
13 in the next several weeks. Undersigned counsel requires the additional time requested herein to
14 adequately prepare the oppositions and reply briefs in the present matter.

15 This stipulated request is submitted for the reasons explained above, in good faith, and not
16 for purposes of undue delay.

17 Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of June 2020.

18 CALLISTER LAW GROUP

NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH
United States Attorney

19 /s/ Mitchell S. Bisson

/s/ Patrick A. Rose

20
21 MITCHELL S. BISSON, ESQ.
22 330. E. Charleston Blvd., St. 100
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
24 702-385-3343
Attorneys for Plaintiff

PATRICK A. ROSE
Assistant United States Attorney
Attorneys for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED:


RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED this 3rd day of June, 2020.

CALLISTER LAW GROUP
330 E. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
T: (702) 385-3343 F: (702) 385-2899